

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/641,703	KELM, WARREN	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Shane Bomar	3672	

  

**All Participants:**

(1) Shane Bomar.

(2) Joseph Sebolt.

**Date of Interview:** 28 August 2006

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*None*

Claims discussed:

*None*

Prior art documents discussed:

*None*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*I contacted Attorney Sebolt because it has been more than six months since the last Office Action was mailed. Mr. Sebolt's office informed me that the application is being abandoned.*

**Part III.**

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

**Status of Application:** Abandoned

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** 11am

  

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)